

10. Mendes RE, Kiyota KA, Monteiro J *et al.* Rapid detection and identification of metallo- $\beta$ -lactamase-encoding genes by multiplex real-time PCR assay and melt curve analysis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007; 45: 544–547.
11. Seifert H, Dolzani L, Bressan R *et al.* Standardization and interlaboratory reproducibility assessment of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis-generated fingerprints of *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005; 43: 4328–4335.
12. Iacono M, Villa L, Fortini D *et al.* Whole-genome pyrosequencing of an epidemic multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* strain belonging to the European clone II group. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008; 52: 2616–2625.
13. Moro M, Nizzero P, Biancardi A *et al.* An outbreak caused by multi-drug-resistant OXA-58-positive *Acinetobacter baumannii* in an intensive care unit in Italy. *J Hosp Infect* 2008; 68: 97–99.
14. Giordano A, Varesi P, Bertini A *et al.* Outbreak of *Acinetobacter baumannii* producing the carbapenem-hydrolyzing oxacillinase OXA-58 in Rome, Italy. *Microb Drug Resist* 2007; 13: 37–43.
15. Bertini A, Poirel L, Bernabeu S *et al.* Multicopy *bla*<sub>OXA-58</sub> gene as a source of high-level resistance to carbapenems in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007; 51: 2324–2328.
16. D'Andrea MM, Giani T, Luzzaro F, Rossolini GM. First detection of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* producing the OXA-24 carbapenamases in Italy. Abstr. O300. *18th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ECCMID)*. Barcelona, Spain: ESCMID, 2008.
17. Mezzatesta ML, Trovato G, Gona F *et al.* *In vitro* activity of tigecycline and comparators against carbapenem-susceptible and resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* clinical isolates in Italy. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob* 2008; 7: 4.

positive, although none of had a blood culture positive for *Candida*. The intra-ICU mortality rate was significantly lower ( $p = 0.004$ ) in CAGTA-positive patients (61.2% vs. 22.7%). Multivariate analysis confirmed that a positive CAGTA result was the only protective factor to be independently associated with ICU mortality ( $\beta$  coefficient =  $-0.3856$ ; 95% confidence interval =  $-0.648$  to  $-0.123$ ).

**Keywords:** Antibodies, *Candida* germ tube, critically ill patients, ICU, invasive candidiasis, mortality, pre-emptive antifungal therapy

**Original Submission:** 27 June 2008; **Revised Submission:** 15 September 2008; **Accepted:** 16 September 2008  
Editor: M. Arendrup

*Clin Microbiol Infect* 2009; 15: 592–595  
10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02794.x

## Clinical significance of the detection of *Candida albicans* germ tube-specific antibodies in critically ill patients

R. Zaragoza<sup>1</sup>, J. Pemán<sup>2</sup>, G. Quindós<sup>3</sup>, J. R. Iruretagoyena<sup>4</sup>, M. S. Cuétara<sup>5</sup>, P. Ramírez<sup>6</sup>, M. D. Gómez<sup>2</sup>, J. J. Camarena<sup>7</sup>, A. Viudes<sup>2</sup> and J. Pontón<sup>3</sup>, on behalf of the study group

### *Candida albicans* Germ Tube Antibody Detection in Critically Ill Patients (CAGTAUCI)\*

- 1) Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Universitario Dr Peset,
- 2) Department of Microbiology, Hospital Universitario La Fe, Valencia, 3) Universidad del País Vasco, Bilbao, 4) Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Universitario Cruces, Barakaldo, 5) Department of Microbiology, Hospital Severo Ochoa, Leganés, 6) Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Universitario La Fe, Valencia, 7) Department of Microbiology, Hospital Universitario Dr Peset, Valencia, Spain

## Abstract

The present study, comprising a prospective multicentre study including 53 non-neutropenic patients from intensive care units (ICU) in six Spanish tertiary-care hospitals, was carried out to determine the clinical significance and influence on mortality of *Candida albicans* germ tube-specific antibodies (CAGTA). There were 22 patients (41.5%) for whom the CAGTA results were

**Corresponding author and reprint requests:** R. Zaragoza, Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Universitario Dr Peset, Avda Gaspar Aguilar, 90 46017 Valencia, Spain  
**E-mail:** zaragoza\_raf@gva.es

\*Members of the CAGTAUCI study group: Hospital Universitario Dr Peset, Valencia: S Sancho, R González; Hospital Universitario La Fe, Valencia: M J Giménez; Hospital Universitario Cruces, Barakaldo: M Alkorta; Hospital Severo Ochoa, Leganés: J Suárez; Hospital N.S. de Valme, Seville; E Martín-Mazuelos; Hospital Reina Sofía, Córdoba: M J Linares-Sicilia.

The mortality rate of invasive candidiasis (IC) remains excessively high [1] and is associated with the difficulty of making a prompt microbiological diagnosis [2] and a delay in antifungal treatment [3,4]. To date, no single serological test has demonstrated widespread clinical acceptance [5]. An immunofluorescence assay (*Candida albicans* IFA IgG; Vircell, Granada, Spain) was recently commercialized for *C. albicans* germ tube-specific antibody (CAGTA) detection. The present study aimed to determine the positive result rate of CAGTA testing in critically-ill patients and to assess its diagnostic and prognostic usefulnesses in this setting.

A prospective observational multicentre study was conducted at six Spanish University hospitals over a 2-year period (2005 to 2006). Inclusion criteria were: (i) acute pancreatitis of >7 days of disease evolution; (ii) prolonged intensive care unit (ICU) stay (>14 days) in conjunction with  $\geq 3$  risk factors (e.g. diabetes mellitus, extra-renal depuration, parenteral nutrition, >7 days of broad-spectrum antibiotic

therapy, major abdominal surgery); (iii) liver transplant, (iv) neutropenia or bone marrow transplant (BMT); and (v) high-level *Candida* colonization. Exclusion criteria were: (i) pregnancy, (ii) age <18 years, (iii) previous IC, and (iv) life expectancy <7 days. A corrected colonization index (CCI) was used to assess the intensity of *Candida* colonization [6], and patients with CCI  $\geq 0.4$  were considered to be highly colonized. CAGTA detection (*C. albicans* IFA IgG; Vircell) was performed twice weekly, with a serum titre  $\geq 1/160$  in at

least one sample considered to be a positive result. Blood cultures were processed with automated systems (BACTEC, Becton-Dickinson Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA; BacTAlert, bioMérieux, Barcelona, Spain). Identification of yeasts was performed with API 32C or Vitek systems (bioMérieux). At each institution, the decision to initiate antifungal therapy for patients with suspected IC was made at the discretion of the prescribing physician, based on clinical criteria, but it was not influenced by the results of the CAGTA

**TABLE 1.** Clinical and serological characteristics of patients included in the study

| Patient number | Age | Sex | Inclusion criteria* | Highest CCI | Serum samples (No.) |          | Highest CAGTA titre | Antifungal treatment | CAGTA + titre kinetics | Outcome  |
|----------------|-----|-----|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------|
|                |     |     |                     |             | Total               | Positive |                     |                      |                        |          |
| 1              | 74  | M   | 2                   | 0.3         | 1                   | 0        | <1/80               | No                   |                        | Death    |
| 2              | 52  | F   | 2                   | 0.0         | 2                   | 0        | <1/80               | Yes                  |                        | Survival |
| 3              | 51  | M   | 2                   | 0.3         | 3                   | 0        | <1/80               | No                   |                        | Survival |
| 4              | 71  | M   | 2                   | 0.3         | 4                   | 0        | <1/80               | No                   |                        | Survival |
| 5              | 77  | F   | 2/5                 | 0.8         | 2                   | 1        | 1/160               | Yes                  | ↓                      | Death    |
| 6              | 78  | F   | 1/2                 | 0.8         | 6                   | 6        | 1/640               | Yes                  | ↓                      | Survival |
| 7              | 75  | M   | 2                   | 0.3         | 2                   | 2        | 1/640               | No                   | ↔                      | Survival |
| 8              | 82  | M   | 5                   | 0.5         | 4                   | 0        | <1/80               | Yes                  |                        | Death    |
| 9              | 74  | M   | 5                   | 0.6         | 1                   | 0        | <1/80               | No                   |                        | Survival |
| 10             | 64  | M   | 2                   | 0.3         | 1                   | 1        | 1/160               | No                   | NA                     | Death    |
| 11             | 45  | M   | 4                   | 0.5         | 3                   | 0        | <1/80               | No                   |                        | Survival |
| 12             | 69  | F   | 4                   | 0.8         | 3                   | 0        | <1/80               | No                   |                        | Death    |
| 13             | 63  | F   | 4                   | 0.3         | 5                   | 0        | <1/80               | No                   |                        | Death    |
| 14             | 75  | M   | 2                   | 0.0         | 3                   | 0        | <1/80               | Yes                  |                        | Death    |
| 15             | 83  | M   | 2                   | 0.0         | 2                   | 2        | 1/2560              | Yes                  | ↑                      | Survival |
| 16             | 79  | F   | 5                   | 0.8         | 3                   | 0        | <1/80               | No                   |                        | Survival |
| 17             | 71  | M   | 2                   | 0.0         | 9                   | 9        | 1/2560              | Yes                  | ↓                      | Death    |
| 18             | 51  | M   | 3                   | 1.0         | 3                   | 1        | 1/320               | Yes                  | ↑                      | Survival |
| 19             | 53  | F   | 2                   | 0.0         | 2                   | 1        | 1/160               | No                   | ↑                      | Survival |
| 20             | 59  | M   | 5                   | 0.4         | 8                   | 0        | <1/80               | Yes                  |                        | Death    |
| 21             | 53  | M   | 5                   | 0.6         | 6                   | 0        | <1/80               | Yes                  |                        | Survival |
| 22             | 70  | F   | 2                   | 0.0         | 1                   | 0        | <1/80               | No                   |                        | Death    |
| 23             | 61  | M   | 2                   | 0.0         | 1                   | 0        | <1/80               | No                   |                        | Death    |
| 24             | 78  | M   | 2                   | 0.0         | 3                   | 0        | <1/80               | Yes                  |                        | Death    |
| 25             | 62  | M   | 1                   | 0.0         | 1                   | 0        | <1/80               | Yes                  |                        | Death    |
| 26             | 80  | F   | 5                   | 0.4         | 2                   | 2        | 1/160               | Yes                  | ↔                      | Death    |
| 27             | 45  | F   | 5                   | 0.5         | 4                   | 1        | 1/160               | Yes                  | ↓                      | Survival |
| 28             | 62  | M   | 2                   | 0.3         | 2                   | 2        | 1/320               | Yes                  | ↓                      | Death    |
| 29             | 50  | F   | 2                   | 0.0         | 4                   | 1        | 1/160               | No                   | ↓                      | Survival |
| 30             | 76  | M   | 5                   | 1.0         | 3                   | 0        | <1/80               | Yes                  |                        | Survival |
| 31             | 71  | F   | 2                   | 0.0         | 2                   | 2        | 1/1280              | Yes                  | ↑                      | Survival |
| 32             | 67  | M   | 2                   | 0.0         | 1                   | 0        | <1/80               | No                   |                        | Death    |
| 33             | 78  | F   | 2                   | 0.0         | 3                   | 3        | 1/160               | No                   | ↔                      | Survival |
| 34             | 62  | F   | 2                   | 0.0         | 3                   | 1        | 1/320               | No                   | ↑                      | Survival |
| 35             | 81  | F   | 2                   | 0.0         | 1                   | 0        | 1/80                | No                   |                        | Survival |
| 36             | 81  | M   | 2                   | 0.0         | 3                   | 0        | 1/80                | Yes                  |                        | Death    |
| 37             | 31  | M   | 2                   | 0.0         | 2                   | 2        | 1/1280              | No                   | ↑                      | Death    |
| 38             | 26  | M   | 2                   | 0.0         | 2                   | 1        | 1/160               | Yes                  | ↑                      | Survival |
| 39             | 60  | M   | 2/3/4               | 0.8         | 2                   | 0        | 1/80                | No                   |                        | Death    |
| 40             | 55  | F   | 2                   | 0.5         | 5                   | 1        | 1/160               | No                   | ↓                      | Survival |
| 41             | 35  | M   | 1/2                 | 0.4         | 3                   | 3        | 1/160               | Yes                  | ↔                      | Survival |
| 42             | 101 | M   | 2                   | 0.5         | 3                   | 2        | 1/320               | No                   | ↓                      | Survival |
| 43             | 79  | F   | 2                   | 0.0         | 1                   | 1        | 1/1280              | No                   | NA                     | Survival |
| 44             | 64  | M   | 2/5                 | 0.8         | 1                   | 0        | 1/80                | Yes                  |                        | Death    |
| 45             | 32  | M   | 3                   | 0.3         | 2                   | 2        | 1/320               | No                   | ↔                      | Survival |
| 46             | 46  | F   | 2                   | 0.5         | 2                   | 0        | 1/80                | Yes                  |                        | Death    |
| 47             | 71  | M   | 2/5                 | 0.5         | 5                   | 0        | 1/80                | Yes                  |                        | Death    |
| 48             | 18  | M   | 2                   | 0.6         | 3                   | 0        | 1/80                | Yes                  |                        | Survival |
| 49             | 79  | M   | 2/5                 | 0.8         | 2                   | 0        | 1/80                | Yes                  |                        | Survival |
| 50             | 73  | F   | 2                   | 0.0         | 6                   | 0        | 1/80                | Yes                  |                        | Death    |
| 51             | 59  | M   | 2/5                 | 0.6         | 6                   | 0        | <1/80               | Yes                  |                        | Survival |
| 52             | 64  | F   | 2                   | 0.0         | 1                   | 0        | 1/80                | Yes                  |                        | Death    |
| 53             | 61  | M   | 2                   | 0.3         | 3                   | 0        | 1/80                | No                   |                        | Survival |

M, male; F, female; CCI, corrected colonization index.

Inclusion criteria: \*1: acute pancreatitis of more than seven days of evolution; \*2: prolonged ICU stay (>14 days) and three or more risk factors (diabetes mellitus, extra-renal deputation, parenteral nutrition, >7 days of broad spectrum antibiotic therapy, and major abdominal surgery); \*3: liver transplant; \*4: neutropenia or bone marrow transplant; and \*5: high *Candida* colonization.

↑: increasing titres; ↔: no change; ↓: decreasing titres; NA, not applied.

assay. Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests were used to compare categorical variables. Multivariate analysis was performed to determine independent predictors of related intra-ICU candidaemia mortality using the stepwise method.  $p < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

Fifty-three critically ill patients were included in the study (Table 1). The most frequent inclusion criteria were prolonged ICU stay and the presence of  $\geq 3$  IC risk factors (60%), followed by CCI  $\geq 0.4$  (23%) and neutropenia or BMT (7.5%). Age and APACHE II score averages were  $71.5 \pm 18.4$  years, and  $14.9 \pm 5.4$  points, respectively. The major reasons for ICU admission were septic shock (28.3%) and respiratory failure (28.3%). Patient characteristics did not differ among the participating centres. Culture-based methods yielded negative results for yeasts in all patients.

Twenty-two patients (41.5%) had CAGTA-positive results (ten patients had one positive sample, eight patients had two, and four patients had greater than or equal to three). CAGTA titres were in the range of 1/160 to 1/2560. The presence of acute renal failure (ARF) was more frequent in CAGTA-negative patients. The APACHE II score was statistically higher in this group.

Intra-ICU mortality was 45.2%, being significantly lower ( $p = 0.025$ ) in CAGTA-positive patients (22.7% vs. 61.2% in CAGTA-negative patients). Higher APACHE II score, ARF, and CAGTA-negativity were also associated with ICU mortality in the univariate analysis (Table 2). Conversely, surgical patients were significantly associated with a better outcome.

CAGTA positivity, APACHE II score, and the use of antifungal therapy were introduced into the multivariate statistical model as dependent variables. The stepwise method

confirmed a CAGTA-positive result as a protective factor and the only independent variable associated with intra-ICU mortality ( $\beta$  coefficient =  $-0.3856$ ; 95% confidence interval =  $-0.648$  to  $-0.123$ ).

Ponton *et al.* [7–9] have developed an indirect immunofluorescence assay to detect CAGTA, which proved useful in the diagnosis of IC in different groups of patients [10–13]. The test has shown an overall sensitivity of 77–89% and a specificity of 91–100%. CAGTA has been detected in patients with IC due to *Candida* species other than *C. albicans* [9,12–15]. The *C. albicans* IFA IgG test has been compared with the standard test in a retrospective study using 172 sera from 51 haematology and ICU patients [13]. The commercially available test was similar to the standard test and provided a faster and easier diagnosis of IC.

The sensitivity and specificity of CAGTA detection have not been established in the present study because all blood cultures performed for *Candida* spp. were negative. The high prevalence of CAGTA-positive results obtained (41.5%) corroborates the adequacy of the inclusion criteria used in the present study as a predictive biomarker of *Candida* infection and the need to consolidate data concerning CAGTA detection in a well-defined ICU population.

Although the global sensitivity rate of blood culture is not too high (approximately 50%) in the hospital population [16], this procedure remains the reference standard for candidemia diagnosis. However, this ratio is considerably lower in the ICU setting (5.7%), as previously observed in a *Candida* score study [17].

The univariate analysis confirmed the APACHE II score, ARF, and diabetes mellitus as mortality risk factors. To our knowledge, no association between a positive serological result and mortality has been reported previously in a prospective study in ICU patients with IC. However, the significance of antibody responses to other antigens (especially heat shock proteins) has been reported previously in animal models [18,19].

Intra-ICU mortality was significantly lower in CAGTA-positive patients. Although CAGTA-positive and -negative patients received antifungal treatment based on clinical data, the lower mortality observed in the CAGTA-positive group might be related to correct empirical treatment. Moreover, immunological responses could also play a role in the high mortality of CAGTA-negative patients.

Several limitations of the present study should be noted. First, the small number of patients is a limitation as a result of the difficulty of enrolling this kind of patient with predefined criteria. Moreover, it was not possible to establish the sensitivity and specificity of the CAGTA technique as a result

**TABLE 2.** Univariate analysis of intensive care unit mortality

|                        | Number of patients (%) |               | p value |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------|
|                        | Survival               | Died          |         |
| Age (years)            | 68.6 $\pm$ 21.7        | 75 $\pm$ 15.3 | 0.20    |
| Male/Female (ratio)    | 1.57                   | 2             | 0.56    |
| APACHE II (score)      | 12.9 $\pm$ 5.2         | 17 $\pm$ 4.7  | 0.005   |
| Septic shock           | 6 (20.7)               | 9 (37.5)      | 0.17    |
| Respiratory failure    | 9 (31)                 | 6 (25)        | 0.62    |
| Coma                   | 2 (6.9)                | 3 (12.5)      | 0.48    |
| Renal failure          | 8 (27.6)               | 16 (66.7)     | 0.004   |
| Extra-renal deputation | 6 (20.7)               | 10 (41.7)     | 0.09    |
| Hepatic failure        | 8 (27.6)               | 9 (37.5)      | 0.44    |
| Neutropenia            | 1 (3.4)                | 3 (12.5)      | 0.21    |
| Antifungal treatment   | 13 (44.8)              | 14 (58.3)     | 0.32    |
| CAGTA+                 | 17 (58.6)              | 5 (20.8)      | 0.006   |
| Diabetes mellitus      | 4 (13.8)               | 8 (33.3)      | 0.09    |
| Previous surgery       | 16 (55.2)              | 4 (16.7)      | 0.004   |
| Total                  | 29 (100)               | 24 (100)      | –       |

CAGTA+, Detection of *Candida albicans* germ tube antibodies.

of the absence of proven IC. The possible bias due to the APACHE II score mismatch between groups needs to be evaluated with tested specimens.

One-third of non-survivors had only a single specimen tested, whereas 90% of survivors had serial specimens tested. One possible explanation of this mismatch could be that the higher APACHE scores resulted in an earlier mortality, before serial samples could be collected and before antibody positivity had developed. However, its effect on mortality was not confirmed in the multivariate analysis.

In conclusion, the rate of positive CAGTA results recorded in a selected group of ICU patients was markedly higher than theoretically expected. Based on these results, a strategy of early antifungal treatment after CAGTA detection might reduce the ICU mortality of those individuals with risk factors for the development of IC. Further studies are warranted to confirm these initial findings.

## Acknowledgements

This work was partially presented at ICAAC 2006 (San Francisco). We thank M. Phillips for revision of the English.

## Transparency Declaration

This study was financially supported by an independent investigation grant from Pfizer. All authors declare that they have no potential conflicts of interest.

## References

- Zaragoza R, Peman J. Invasive fungal infections in critically ill patients: different therapeutic options and a uniform strategy. *Rev Iberoam Micol* 2006; 23: 59–63.
- Zaragoza R, Peman J. Diagnostic and therapeutic approach to fungal infections in critical care settings: different options but the same strategy. *J Invasive Fungal Infect* 2007; 1: 50–58.
- Morrell M, Fraser VJ, Kollef MH. Delaying the empiric treatment of candida bloodstream infection until positive blood culture results are obtained: a potential risk factor for hospital mortality. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005; 49: 3640–3645.
- Garey KW, Rege M, Pai MP et al. Time to initiation of fluconazole therapy impacts mortality in patients with candidemia: a multi-institutional study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; 43: 25–31.
- Quindos G, Moragues MD, Ponton J. Is there a role for antibody testing in the diagnosis of invasive candidiasis? *Rev Iberoam Micol* 2004; 21: 10–14.
- Pittet D, Monod M, Suter PM, Frenk E, Auckenthaler R. *Candida* colonization and subsequent infections in critically ill surgical patients. *Ann Surg* 1994; 220: 751–758.
- Ponton J, Jones JM. Identification of two germ tube specific cell wall antigens of *Candida albicans*. *Infect Immun* 1986; 54: 864–868.
- Quindos G, Ponton J, Cisterna R. Detection of antibodies to *Candida albicans* germ-tubes in the serodiagnosis of systemic candidosis. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1987; 6: 142–146.
- Ponton J, Quindos G, Arilla MC, Mackenzie DW. Simplified adsorption method for detection of antibodies to *Candida albicans* germ tubes. *J Clin Microbiol* 1994; 32: 217–219.
- Quindos G, Alvarez M, Regulez P, Ponton J, Cisterna R. Diagnosis of systemic candidiasis in parenteral drug addicts by detection of antimycelial antibodies. *Med Clin (Barc)* 1988; 90: 451–455.
- Garcia-Ruiz JC, del Carmen AM, Regulez P, Quindos G, Alvarez A, Ponton J. Detection of antibodies to *Candida albicans* germ tubes for diagnosis and therapeutic monitoring of invasive candidiasis in patients with hematologic malignancies. *J Clin Microbiol* 1997; 35: 3284–3287.
- Iruretagoyena JR, Regulez P, Quindos G, Ponton J. Antibodies to *Candida albicans* germ tubes in two intensive care patients with invasive candidiasis. *Rev Iberoam Micol* 2000; 17: 93–96.
- Moragues MD, Ortiz N, Iruretagoyena JR et al. Evaluation of a new commercial test (*Candida albicans* IFA IgG) for the serodiagnosis of invasive candidiasis. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin* 2004; 22: 83–88.
- Quindos G, Ponton J, Cisterna R, Mackenzie DW. Value of detection of antibodies to *Candida albicans* germ tube in the diagnosis of systemic candidosis. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1990; 9: 178–183.
- Salesa R, Moragues MD, Sota R, Peman J, Quindos G, Ponton J. Specific antibody response in a patient with *Candida dubliniensis fungemia*. *Rev Iberoam Micol* 2001; 18: 42–44.
- Berenguer J, Buck M, Witebsky F, Stock F, Pizzo PA, Walsh TJ. Lysis-centrifugation blood cultures in the detection of tissue-proven invasive candidiasis. Disseminated versus single-organ infection. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 1993; 17: 103–109.
- Leon C, Ruiz-Santana S, Saavedra P et al. A bedside scoring system ('*Candida* score') for early antifungal treatment in nonneutropenic critically ill patients with *Candida* colonization. *Crit Care Med* 2006; 34: 730–737.
- Montagnoli C, Sandini S, Bacci A, Romani L, La VR. Immunogenicity and protective effect of recombinant enolase of *Candida albicans* in a murine model of systemic candidiasis. *Med Mycol* 2004; 42: 319–324.
- Bromuro C, La VR, Sandini S, Urbani F, Ausiello CM, Morelli L, Fe d'Ostiani C, Romani L, Cassone A. A 70-kilodalton recombinant heat shock protein of *Candida albicans* is highly immunogenic and enhances systemic murine candidiasis. *Infect Immun* 1998; 66: 2154–2162.